

Email Jan Lohfeldt on 31.07.2017 after telephonic contact :

dear cindy,

thank you for taking my call, as per our request and suggested by moffat zukelwa (deputy traffic chief knysna), we, justin, brendan and myself, as ambassadors of the republic of good hope (RGH), would like to schedule a meeting with farrel payne and kenny afrika some time next week, if possible on the 10th or 11th of august, between 10-12am,

thank you for arranging, looking forward hearing from you,

cheers jan

Email Cindy Ordeson (PA for Director Traffic) 31.07.2017 :

Good day

Your email has been acknowledged and received and I will revert to you soonest.

Regards

Cindy Ordeson

Email Cindy Ordeson 01.08.2017 :

Good Morning

Please forward Agenda points to be discussed for the meeting.

Please indicate who the ambassadors of the republic of good hope are too.

Regards

Email Jan Lohfeldt on 01.08.2017 :

good day peace officers, public office bearers and oath keepers,

the purpose of this email and subsequent meeting is to highlight the reasons and intentions of the republic of good hope (RGH), as well as the conflict of jurisdictions in its practice, as experienced more frequently in the last years and also 2 weeks ago when i was travelling in the sedgefield area, which ended up in a friendly meeting at the office of moffat zukelwa, deputy traffic chief for knysna, who suggested to contact the director of traffic in cape town (see more detail about the incident below);

the ambassadors for RGH at the meeting will be justin maxwell, brendan john and myself, jan lohfeldt,

points of discussion will be :

- conflict of jurisdictions, i.e. acts vs. common law
- reaction of peace officers to RGH members, i.e. the arbitrariness from acceptance to arrest
- introduction of RGH to RTMC and a future peaceful coexistence

RGH was born out of a necessity, having researched the state and/or status of countries, in particular south africa, for many years, leading us the people to various information and subsequent conclusions; it is no secret that most of the world has been corporatized, monetized and capitalized right under our eyes in recent years, this includes once sovereign countries subjecting themselves without consent of the people to corporate schemes, thus changing their status and contractual relation to its people and citizens alike; south africa is now RSA (Pty) Ltd, the once peoples' government, accountable and responsible, is now a corporate government aka THE GOVERNMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA (see attached), now acting only with limited liability, like any other corporate legal entity or person; subsequently almost all once public offices and departments and now privately owned corporate franchises, this includes the RTMC (see act) and even some courts (see D&B attached), all them desperately trying to generate income, i.e. increased fees, fines, permits, licences, etc, to pay back international loans the government has taken out via SARB from the IMF or the BIS; today we know that in almost all 196 countries on earth, the national debt exceeds the national budget by a large margin, the SA budget in just over R1 trillion in recent years, the national debt is at around \$140 billion, roughly twice the budget; there is no way one country or its citizens and/or people are able to repay these loans ever, this is called debt slavery, instituted by global banking cartels who own all reserve or central banks, thus owning and controlling governments;

we the people have attempted for many years to bring this and other issues, i.e. trading of birth certificates, rigged interest/exchange rates, overreach of government, over taxation, loss of rights, etc, to the courts' attention; in south africa we the people have filed with the constitutional court 4 times since 2013, always receiving the same stock response " no prospect of success ", even though we provided all the remedy; the lack of response or silence from the judges and custodians of human rights speaks louder than words; with all this frustration, we the people are forced, obliged and have a duty to look for alternatives, until such time there is some form of communication, redress and recourse; RGH is such an alternative, especially in south africa which is one of ca. 20 countries on the planet with a mixed legal or law system, i.e. roman-dutch civil law, english common law and customary/tribal law, the latter the non-codified oral law which preserves the rights of people, similar to and/or in the spirit of the bill of right, which no longer serves as a bill, but is now incorporated in act 108 of 1996, the so-called south african constitution.

RGH, its members and/or any other people of customary (law) societies don't seek confrontation, want to be difficult or spiteful, we're only exercising our natural and customary rights, which sometimes are in conflict with agents of private corporations executing their prescribed duties; we're not trying to be above the law of statutes, but co-exist alongside, it is about tolerance not necessarily recognition, for we the people do not have to recognize (foreign) private corporations and their imposed charters; and

before we turn to violence or become angry anarchists fighting the system, be become peaceful activists, removing ourselves from the system that no longer serves the people; often in many encounters with RTMC agents, we hear that RTMC was never told or informed about RGH, which is true, but the people on the land were never informed about RSA (Pty) Ltd, the RTMC replacing the traffic department (the latter now only acting on behalf of RTMC), the SA NATIONAL ROAD AGENCY (Pty) Ltd and any implications and/or change of status that comes with it;

not wanting to go into a debate whether civil, statutory, roman-dutch law supersedes common, customary and tribal law or if the latter are stronger than any of the fictitious former, this would be a debate for any international tribunal outside the republic of south africa and the republic of good hope, but following are some sections of RSA legislation, that in our view justify and allow for RGH's conduct, even within the legislative framework of RSA, to which every public office bearer has given his/her oath :

RSA constitution aka act 108 of 1996, bill of rights (see highlighted sections in attached file), in particular section 21(2) " **everyone has got the right to leave the republic** "

in connection with a universal right of association and self-determination, but also provided for in the RSA constitution, members of RGH, taking all of the above into consideration, have left the republic (of south africa) and entered another republic, the republic of good hope, by their own free will and choice, remaining on the land of southern africa; a republic is nothing but a legal and fictitious concept, without people it is void, it is not the physical land mass, which cannot be owned by anyone, although the preamble of the RSA constitution reads " it is believed south africa belongs to all who live in it "; if people leave RSA, as provided for in its own constitution and RSA or its agents are still imposing its statutes on those people, that is a peoples' right violation, for as long as such people act in peace and do no harm, if they do, common or customary law still exists in both, RSA and RGH;

NRTA and RTMC act, all acts of parliament apply to persons or citizens and/or those consenting to them voluntarily and/or those taken an oath to uphold them, all of which we are not, we are living men or women and people alike :

section 3F (b) Powers and duties of inspector of licences

(b) in respect of any motor vehicle, demand from the title holder, owner, operator or driver thereof the production of any document which such person is required to have in respect of that motor vehicle in terms of this Act or **any other law**, or **any like document** issued by a competent authority **outside the Republic**

section 3J (2) Failure to comply with instruction or direction of inspector of licences, traffic officer, examiner of vehicles or peace officer

(2) Whenever the production of any document which is not required to be

affixed to a vehicle or to be kept with him or her in a vehicle by any person, is demanded under sections 3F(b), 3F(g) or 31(i), the production thereof at any police station or office set aside by a competent authority for use by a traffic officer or peace officer, within a period of seven days after being so demanded, shall be deemed to be sufficient compliance with the demand.

although members of RGH do not drive any motor vehicles as per the NRTA, but rather travel in private conveyances, exercising their customary right to travel freely, the above sections from the NRTA make provisions for any officer or agent in employ of the RTMC not to violate his/her mandate and to accept RGH as long as its members do not cause any harm, loss or injury; so far in the seven years of RGH's existence, the majority of encounters with SAPS or RTMC officers has been pleasant on positive, although sometimes confrontational but always educational; the 2 jurisdictions, civil/statutory and common/customary law, don't mix, just like oil and water; the biggest concern for most officers seems to be the loss of revenue from a few RGH members, rather than safety (on the roads) though; needless to say, that all people and citizens alike pay 14% VAT and R10 p/l of petrol on levies and tax;

leading to this communication was a peaceful encounter between me and RTMC agents in the sedgefield / knysna area, even though threats of arrest were articulated and private property unlawfully confiscated; officer qambi was courteous when on the road, taking instructions from deputy traffic chief moffat zukelwa, who i visited 2 days later at his office, where he apologized for being rude and referred me to you, because he could not make any decision; a few days later i visited senior public prosecutor johan engelbrecht at the knysna magistrates' court, who gave me 10 minutes of his time to explain it is what we do and why; on my way past riversdale last week, officer B. stopped me, now travelling without any document or number plates, following moffat zukelwa's advice; officer b. was surprised but interested of what i had to say, we had a peaceful talk, he said i gave him enough information to conduct his own research and i left for swellendam; the only other incident where a ticket for an alleged offence had been issued, was in swellendam about 2 years ago, i visited the court about 5-6 times, case number V6222/15 and eventually the matter was struck off the role by magistrate delport, somehow he seemed to avoid a trial that i was very prepared for;

another incident that still requires clarification involving a RGH member in the george area, she stopped at a RTMC check point and was informed that RGH had been declared illegal by order and all members were to be arrested; the officer had a copy of an RGH record disc with him; upon calling me i told her to ask for that specific order and who issued it, she was also threatened with arrest and agreed to follow the officers to the nearest police station, later when she spoke to quintin williams (traffic chief) over the phone, he confirmed that our member essentially was within her rights, but he couldn't make a decision; he also reiterated that the officer did not threaten her with arrest, but merely suggest it; whatever the case, until today, i have not managed to make contact with quintin williams and get more detailed information on any order declaring RGH illegal and/or to arrest its members; if there is such an order, we would like to see it, find out who granted and issued it, and when and where; in my comprehension such an order, especially within your system, can only be obtained through the courts, meaning RGH needs to be summoned and be given a fair trial;

again, this dialogue is not to be confrontational but an attempt for a meeting of the minds and to remind every public office bearer of his/her oath and duty to serve and protect the people of a nation, rather than aiding and abetting a foreign corporation to extract money from already stretched wallets of its citizenry,

truthfully, jan : a people

Email Cindy Ordeson 02.08.2017 :

Good day

Mr Africa will out of town on from 9th- 11th Aug 2017 and Mr Payne has other engagements.

Please provide me with alternative dates.

Regards

Email Jan Lohfeldt 02.08.2017 :

hi cindy,

thank you for the update, how about the week after, probably 14th or 15th of august ?

cheers jan

Email Cindy Ordeson 02.08.2017 :

Good day

The 14th Aug 2017 is in order, Time:11.00 or 12.00 Regards

Email Jan Lohfeldt 02.08.2017 :

hey cindy

great, 11am is a good time, thank you for organising, enjoy the rest of the week,

cheers jan

Email Cindy Ordeson 04.08.2017 :

Good Morning Sir

With reference re: trailing emails it is with regret to inform you that the meeting that was scheduled for the 14 Aug 2017 will not be taking place due to unforeseen circumstances

Regards

Email Jan Lohfeldt 04.08.2017 :

hi cindy,

thank you for the update, we are somehow flexible with dates, if you could propose another date & time that is suitable to the directors, that'd be appreciated, we will make arrangement accordingly,

thank you,
cheers jan

Intercepting Email Kyle Reinecke 01.08.2017 Cindy Orbeson was not aware of at the time :

Good day Mr. Lohfeldt

This matter has been referred to me to respond to you.

From your mail it seems as if you are saying that RGH members are exempt from the provisions of the NRTA and as such should not be held to account in terms of the Act.

I cannot speak for all Law Enforcement agencies but hereby wish to inform you that RGH members will be treated and held to the same standards as all road users in the Western Cape.

As such if your members contravene the law they will be dealt with in terms of the law by Provincial Traffic officers. Until a court order dictates otherwise, RGH members will be treated no differently to other road users.

I will not be entering into a debate on the matter and feel that no purpose will be served by a meeting.